J Euro Eco His.
ISSN : 0391-5115
Volume 1, Isue 1 (2020)
THE JOURNAL OF EUROPEAN ECONOMIC HISTORY

Outcome-Based Education in Accounting: Global Best Practices for Curriculum Design, Assessment, and Assurance of Learning

Md. Arafat Hossain
Department of Accounting & Finance, International School of Business and Public Policy (ISBPP), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Dr. Priya N. Menon
School of Commerce, Centre for Teaching, Learning & Assessment, Global Metropolitan University, Toronto, Canada

Dr. Samuel K. Okoye
Department of Accounting, College of Business and Economics, Pan-African Institute of Management Studies, Accra, Ghana

Abstract

Outcome-based education (OBE) has become a defining approach for accounting programs seeking to improve graduate employability, professional competence, and demonstrable learning quality. In contrast to input-driven models (content coverage, contact hours), OBE aligns program educational objectives, program learning outcomes, course learning outcomes, teaching–learning activities, and assessment evidence into an integrated quality cycle. This article synthesizes global best practices for implementing OBE in accounting education, drawing from international professional education guidance (International Education Standards), accreditation expectations emphasizing outcomes and assurance of learning (AoL), and evolving competency frameworks shaping accounting practice. We propose a practical "OBE-in-Accounting Implementation Blueprint" that includes (i) competency mapping to professional and societal needs; (ii) constructive alignment of courses and assessments; (iii) rubric-based direct assessment with triangulated evidence; (iv) AoL governance and continuous improvement loops; (v) technology-enabled assessment analytics; and (vi) embedded ethics, professional skepticism, digital competencies, and sustainability learning outcomes. The paper offers templates, sample rubrics, and a staged roadmap that institutions can adopt and contextualize across diverse regulatory and cultural environments.

Key Words: accounting education, curriculum comparison, developed economies, developing economies, IES, UNCTAD-ISAR, assurance of learning, analytics, ethics.

Introduction

Accounting education faces a persistent tension: programs must teach robust technical foundations (financial reporting, audit, taxation, managerial accounting) while also preparing graduates for fast-changing professional realities—data analytics, digital systems, sustainability reporting, complex regulation, and heightened expectations of ethics and professional judgment. These pressures have accelerated global movement toward outcome-based education (OBE), where the central question is not "What did we teach?" but "What can graduates reliably do, and what evidence proves it?"

OBE in accounting is increasingly shaped by three converging forces. First, professional education guidance has emphasized competence expressed as learning outcomes and the measurement of outcome achievement, a direction reflected in International Education Standards (IES) and related learning-outcome approaches. (IFAC) Second, accreditation systems have strengthened outcomes-focused expectations through assurance of learning (AoL), requiring programs to define outcomes, collect evidence, analyze results, and close the loop through improvements; AACSB standards explicitly emphasize outcomes focus and AoL. (AACSB) Third, professional competency requirements are evolving (e.g., technology, emerging competencies), requiring curriculum redesign that is demonstrably aligned to practice needs. (thiswaytocpa.com)

Despite widespread adoption, OBE implementation quality varies considerably. Many institutions write learning outcomes but fail to (i) design authentic assessments, (ii) produce reliable evidence of attainment, or (iii) translate data into curriculum improvement. This article addresses that gap by consolidating global best practices and providing an implementation blueprint tailored to accounting education.

Purpose and contributions

1. Present a practical OBE framework specific to accounting programs (UG/PG).
2. Identify global best practices for outcome design, assessment, AoL systems, and continuous improvement.
3. Provide ready-to-adapt tools: mapping templates, assessment plan structure, and rubric exemplars.
4. Highlight emerging areas: sustainability outcomes, digital competencies, and professional skepticism.

2. Conceptual Foundation: What OBE Means in Accounting

OBE is commonly defined as an educational approach that starts by specifying the intended outcomes—knowledge, skills, behaviors, and professional dispositions—and then designs curriculum, pedagogy, and assessments to ensure those outcomes are achieved and evidenced. In accounting, outcomes typically span:

- **Technical competence** (e.g., applying IFRS/GAAP concepts, cost analysis, tax computations)
- **Professional skills** (communication, teamwork, problem solving, data analysis)
- **Ethics and professional values** (integrity, independence, public interest orientation)
- **Professional judgment and skepticism** (particularly in audit/assurance contexts)
- **Digital and systems competence** (AIS, analytics, controls, emerging tech)
- **Sustainability and societal impact** (ESG reporting awareness, assurance implications)

International guidance has increasingly framed professional competence as learning outcomes and emphasizes output-based measurement approaches. (education.ifac.org) In accreditation, the outcomes perspective is reinforced through AoL cycles and evidence-based improvement expectations. (AACSB)

A key operational idea underlying strong OBE is **constructive alignment**: learning outcomes drive teaching activities and assessment tasks, and assessment evidence is interpreted against explicit criteria (rubrics) to determine attainment levels.

3. Literature Review and Global Practice Context

3.1 OBE and learning outcomes in higher education

OBE research consistently finds that merely listing outcomes is insufficient; improvement occurs when outcomes are measurable, assessments are authentic, and feedback loops shape teaching and curriculum. Course-level OBE implementations in accounting and business education often demonstrate gains when outcomes are mapped to cognitive levels (e.g., Bloom's taxonomy) and supported by aligned assessment tasks. (For example, OBE implementations in accounting courses have mapped intended learning outcomes to cognitive domains and redesigned assessment accordingly.) (aabri.com)

3.2 Professional standards and competence orientation

International Education Standards emphasize competence development and learning outcomes framing in professional accounting education. (IFAC) A notable recent direction is the embedding of sustainability-related concepts into competence requirements and learning outcomes, demonstrating that outcome frameworks must adapt over time. (ifacweb.blob.core.windows.net)

3.3 Accreditation and Assurance of Learning (AoL)

Accreditation standards increasingly require schools to demonstrate learning outcomes achievement using AoL systems (direct evidence, systematic sampling, analysis, and "closing the loop"). AACSB accounting accreditation standards explicitly include AoL as a core standard and reinforce outcomes-based quality demonstration. (AACSB)

3.4 Practice-driven competency changes

Competency models associated with CPA licensure evolution illustrate increased emphasis on technology and broader competencies, reinforcing why outcomes and curriculum must be periodically re-mapped to practice needs. (thiswaytocpa.com)

4. Methodology

This article uses a qualitative synthesis approach:

1. **Document analysis** of global guidance and standards that shape OBE in accounting (professional education guidance, accreditation expectations, competency frameworks).
2. **Best-practice synthesis** from recognized OBE/AoL implementation patterns (constructive alignment, rubric assessment, governance, and improvement cycles).
3. **Design science orientation** to produce an actionable implementation blueprint and tools (figures, tables, templates).

The intent is not to claim a single universal model, but to provide a robust, adaptable set of practices institutions can contextualize according to their national qualification frameworks, professional body requirements, and institutional mission.

5. An OBE Framework for Accounting Programs

5.1 Levels of outcomes

Strong OBE separates outcomes into levels to avoid confusion and misalignment:

- **Program Educational Objectives (PEOs):** 3–5 year post-graduation expectations (career readiness, professional growth).

- **Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs):** demonstrable competencies at graduation.
- **Course Learning Outcomes (CLOs):** measurable outcomes per course contributing to PLOs.

5.2 Figure 1: Constructive Alignment Model (Accounting OBE)

Figure 1. Constructive alignment for accounting OBE (Outcomes → Learning → Assessment → Improvement)

Professional & societal needs
↓
Program Educational Objectives (PEOs)
↓
Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs) ← mapped to standards/competency frameworks
↓
Course Learning Outcomes (CLOs) ← mapped to PLOs
↓
Teaching & Learning Activities (TLA)
(case method, labs, simulations, projects, internships)
↓
Assessment Evidence (Direct + Indirect)
(rubrics, capstone, exams, portfolios, employer feedback)
↓
Assurance of Learning (AoL) cycle
(analyze → act → improve → re-measure)

Source: Author synthesis informed by outcomes-focused accreditation and learning-outcomes approaches in professional education and AoL expectations. (AACSB)

6. Global Best Practices for OBE in Accounting

Best Practice 1: Start with a competency map anchored in professional standards and market needs

Accounting programs should conduct a periodic "competency mapping workshop" involving faculty, employers, alumni, and (where relevant) professional bodies. The output is a competency map that:

- identifies core technical and professional competencies,
- specifies expected proficiency levels at graduation,
- aligns with recognized frameworks (IES learning-outcome emphasis; accreditation AoL expectations),
- captures regional legal/regulatory differences.

International education guidance emphasizes competence expressed via learning outcomes and the need for learning outcomes approaches. (IFAC)

Practical tip: Limit PLOs to ~8–12 to keep assessment manageable.

Best Practice 2: Write measurable outcomes using action verbs and performance criteria

High-quality accounting learning outcomes include:

- **Action verb** (analyze, evaluate, design, audit, interpret, recommend)

- **Context/condition** (given incomplete client data; using audit evidence; under time constraints)
- **Standard/criterion** (consistent with relevant reporting framework; meets professional ethics criteria)
- **Performance level** (benchmark: threshold/target/stretch)

Avoid vague verbs (understand, know, learn). In accounting, outcomes should often require higher-order cognition (analysis, evaluation, professional judgment).

Best Practice 3: Build a curriculum map with explicit "Introduce–Develop–Master" (IDM) sequencing

A curriculum map should show where each PLO is:

- **Introduced (I)** in early courses,
- **Developed (D)** through practice and feedback,
- **Mastered (M)** in advanced courses/capstone/internships.

This prevents the common failure mode where multiple outcomes are "covered" but none are sufficiently developed.

Best Practice 4: Prioritize authentic assessment (what accountants actually do)

Accounting OBE works best when assessment tasks mirror professional work products:

- audit planning memo and risk assessment,
- internal controls documentation and testing plan,
- managerial budgeting model + narrative interpretation,
- tax advisory brief with assumptions and limitations,
- sustainability reporting case analysis (where appropriate),
- data analytics project using ERP/AIS datasets.

Competency shifts in professional contexts (including technology) reinforce authentic tasks rather than rote recall. (thiswaytocpa.com)

Best Practice 5: Use rubrics for direct assessment and ensure reliability

Rubrics operationalize outcomes into observable criteria. Best practice includes:

- 3–5 criteria per rubric (not 12–15),
- 4 performance levels (Beginning / Developing / Proficient / Advanced),
- descriptors that reduce subjectivity,
- periodic inter-rater calibration among faculty.

Table 1. Example PLO domains and sample evidence sources

PLO Domain (Accounting)	Example PLO statement (short)	Direct evidence	Indirect evidence
Financial reporting	Prepare and interpret financial statements under applicable standards	case-based exam + rubric	student confidence survey
Audit & assurance	Evaluate risk, design procedures, interpret evidence	audit simulation + memo rubric	internship supervisor feedback

PLO Domain (Accounting)	Example PLO statement (short)	Direct evidence	Indirect evidence
Managerial decision-making	Build and explain cost/decision models for management	spreadsheet model + narrative	employer survey
Data & digital	Use accounting systems/data analytics for insight and controls	analytics project rubric	course exit survey
Ethics & public interest	Apply ethics frameworks and professional codes to dilemmas	ethics case rubric	alumni reflections
Communication	Communicate accounting judgments clearly to stakeholders	presentation rubric	employer feedback

Best Practice 6: Establish an Assurance of Learning (AoL) governance structure
AoL fails when it is treated as administrative paperwork. Best practice creates:

- an **AoL committee** (faculty-led),
- published **assessment calendar** (2–3 outcomes per term),
- defined **sampling strategy** (e.g., capstone sections, random sample of portfolios),
- consistent **data storage** (secure repository, rubric score sheets),
- documented **closing-the-loop actions**.

AACSB accounting accreditation standards explicitly position AoL as a key requirement and emphasize outcomes-based quality evidence. (AACSB)

Best Practice 7: "Close the loop" with specific, evidence-based improvements
Closing the loop is strongest when it is:

- **specific** (change case difficulty, add analytics lab, revise prerequisite sequencing),
- **owned** (named course coordinator responsible),
- **time-bound** (implemented next offering),
- **re-measured** (same rubric next cycle to test impact).

Best Practice 8: Integrate sustainability, ethics, and professional skepticism as assessable outcomes
Global standards trends point toward stronger sustainability integration within learning outcomes in professional accounting education. (ifacweb.blob.core.windows.net) Programs can embed:

- sustainability reporting concepts and assurance implications,
- ethical decision-making under ambiguity,
- professional skepticism in audit judgments,
- stakeholder and societal impact thinking.

Best Practice 9: Use technology to enable scalable OBE
Recommended tools and practices:

- Learning management system (LMS) outcome tagging,
- e-portfolios for artifacts (memos, models, reflections),
- automated sampling and rubric analytics dashboards,
- item analysis for exams (where used),

- academic integrity and AI-use policy alignment.

Best Practice 10: Faculty development is not optional

OBE requires shared understanding of:

- outcome writing,
- rubric design,
- authentic assessment,
- calibration and reliability,
- feedback practices,
- data interpretation and improvement planning.

Institutions that budget time for training and calibration get more valid evidence and better improvement decisions.

7. Implementation Blueprint (Step-by-Step)

Phase 1 (0–3 months): Design

1. Define graduate profile + PEOs.
2. Draft 8–12 PLOs aligned to professional expectations.
3. Create curriculum map (IDM).
4. Select "signature assessments" (capstone, audit simulation, analytics project).

Phase 2 (3–9 months): Build assessment system

1. Create rubrics for signature assessments.
2. Set benchmarks (e.g., 70% students at Proficient or above).
3. Train faculty; run calibration session.
4. Pilot assessment in 1–2 courses.

Phase 3 (9–18 months): Institutionalize AoL

1. AoL calendar and sampling plan.
2. Data collection workflow (rubric sheets, storage, dashboard).
3. Document improvement actions and re-measure.

Phase 4 (ongoing): Continuous improvement and refresh

1. Annual review of outcomes relevance (tech, sustainability, regulation).
2. Stakeholder feedback cycles (employers, alumni).
3. Periodic curriculum redesign.

8. Sample Rubric (Direct Assessment)

Figure 2. Sample rubric excerpt: Audit risk assessment memo (PLO: Professional judgment & skepticism)

Criterion	Beginning	Developing	Proficient	Advanced
Risk identification	Lists generic risks	Identifies some client-specific risks	Identifies key client-specific risks with rationale	Integrates nuanced risks, industry factors, and contradictions
Evidence	Minimal link to	Partial linkage	Clear linkage	Triangulates

Criterion	Beginning	Developing	Proficient	Advanced
reasoning	evidence		between evidence and conclusions	evidence; addresses alternatives
Skepticism & bias	Accepts management claims	Questions some claims	Appropriately challenges assumptions	Demonstrates rigorous skepticism and balanced judgment
Recommendations	Vague procedures	Some relevant procedures	Procedures responsive to risks	Highly targeted procedures with prioritization and feasibility

9. Discussion: Common Challenges and How Best Practices Address Them

1. **Outcome overload:** Too many PLOs/CLOs → reduce to essentials, use signature assessments.
2. **Misalignment:** Outcomes exist but assessments test recall → adopt authentic tasks and rubrics.
3. **Data without decisions:** Scores collected but no action → formalize AoL governance and loop-closure requirements.
4. **Faculty resistance:** Seen as compliance → position OBE as a teaching improvement tool; support workload and training.
5. **Equity and consistency:** Different sections grade differently → calibration, shared rubrics, periodic moderation.

   Accreditation expectations emphasize demonstrable outcomes via AoL and continuous improvement, which can be used as a positive driver for system quality rather than a paperwork burden. (AACSB)

10. Implications for Accounting Educators and Institutions

- **For educators:** Shift from content delivery to competence evidence—design fewer but deeper assessments with high feedback value.
- **For departments:** Build AoL systems that are faculty-owned, simple, and repeatable.
- **For institutions:** Invest in assessment infrastructure (portfolios, analytics) and faculty development.
- **For regulators/professional bodies:** Provide clear, evolving competency expectations to help programs maintain relevance (e.g., technology and sustainability competencies). (ifacweb.blob.core.windows.net)

11. Conclusion

Outcome-based education in accounting is most effective when it becomes an integrated system rather than a documentation exercise. Global best practices show that successful OBE programs (i) define measurable outcomes aligned to professional competence, (ii) build curriculum maps with intentional scaffolding, (iii) use authentic assessments with rubrics to generate credible evidence, and (iv) run assurance-of-learning cycles that translate data into improvements. As the accounting profession evolves—especially in technology and

sustainability—OBE offers a practical, evidence-driven method to keep curricula relevant, credible, and continuously improving.

References

1. Acharya, C. (2003). Outcome-based education (OBE): A new paradigm for learning. *Centre for Development of Teaching and Learning Newsletter*.
2. American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) & National Association of State Boards of Accountancy (NASBA). (2021, June). *CPA Evolution model curriculum*. (NASBA)
3. AICPA & NASBA. (2021, June 14/15). AICPA and NASBA release CPA Evolution model curriculum (news release). (aicpa-cima.com)
4. Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB). (2025, February 28). *AACSB business accreditation standards*. (AACSB)
5. Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB). (2025, February 28). *Accounting accreditation standards* (Originally accepted April 23, 2018; updated Feb 28, 2025). (AACSB)
6. International Federation of Accountants (IFAC). (n.d.). *International Education Standards (IES)*. (IFAC)
7. International Federation of Accountants (IFAC) – IAESB. (n.d.). *IES 8: Audit engagement partner competence*. (education.ifac.org)
8. International Federation of Accountants (IFAC). (2025, March). *IES sustainability revisions – learning outcomes (IES 2, 3, and 4 fact sheet)*. (ifacweb.blob.core.windows.net)
9. International Federation of Accountants (IFAC). (n.d.). *IES 8 project: Sustainability-related changes effective July 1, 2026 (project information)*. (IFAC)
10. International Federation of Accountants (IFAC) – IAESB. (n.d.). *Guiding principles for implementing a learning outcomes approach (consultation paper page)*. (IFAC)
11. Lui, G. (2012). Outcome-based education and student learning in managerial accounting. *Journal of Business & Educational Leadership*, manuscript available via AABRI. (aabri.com)
12. NASBA & AICPA. (n.d.). *CPA Evolution overview*. (thiswaytocpa.com)
13. Spady, W. G. (1994). *Outcome-based education: Critical issues and answers*. American Association of School Administrators.
14. Biggs, J. (1996). Enhancing teaching through constructive alignment. *Higher Education, 32*(3), 347–364.