J Euro Eco His.
ISSN : 0391-5115
Volume 1, Isue 3 (2020)
THE JOURNAL OF EUROPEAN ECONOMIC HISTORY

Teaching Accounting Analytics: Global Challenges and Opportunities

Rohan P. Verma
Department of Accounting and Finance, National Institute of Business Studies, New Delhi, India

Ananya S. Mukherjee
School of Commerce and Digital Innovation, Eastern Metropolitan University, Kolkata, India

Vikram R. Iyer
Centre for Accounting Analytics and Assurance, Western State University, Mumbai, India

Abstract

Accounting analytics—the use of data methods and technologies to improve accounting, auditing, taxation, and managerial decision-making—has shifted from a niche topic to a core professional capability. Global employers increasingly expect graduates to interpret transactional datasets, design analytic tests aligned with assertions, build dashboards, and apply professional judgment under ethical and governance constraints. However, accounting programs worldwide face implementation challenges, including curriculum crowding, uneven faculty readiness, tool fragmentation, limited access to authentic data, and misaligned assessment cultures. This paper synthesizes global challenges and opportunities in teaching accounting analytics and proposes an integrated instructional architecture that includes an Analytics Learning Progression Model (ALPM), authentic task design, scalable assessment strategies, faculty development pathways, and a quality assurance scorecard for assurance of learning. Using an integrative literature review approach, the study consolidates evidence from accounting education research, auditing analytics literature, professional competency frameworks, and accreditation guidance. The paper contributes a practical roadmap for both high-resource and resource-constrained institutions to embed analytics in accounting education while strengthening ethical reasoning, transparency, and employability outcomes

Key Words: accounting analytics, audit analytics, accounting education, curriculum reform, data literacy, assurance of learning, digital transformation, employability

Introduction

The accounting profession is undergoing structural transformation driven by digitized transactions, enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, e-invoicing and e-payments, continuous monitoring, and growing regulatory expectations for transparency and control. These shifts have expanded the role of analytics in accounting work. In auditing, data analytics supports risk assessment, full-population testing, anomaly detection, and continuous auditing concepts. In management accounting, analytics strengthens forecasting, cost management, and performance measurement. In financial reporting, it improves interpretation of trends, estimates, internal controls, and disclosure quality.

Despite these professional changes, many accounting programs across the world remain anchored in content-heavy syllabi and examination-driven assessment. Students often

graduate with strong knowledge of rules and standards but limited competence in handling real datasets, selecting appropriate analytic procedures, documenting evidence, and communicating insights. The global gap between accounting education and workplace analytics expectations has become widely acknowledged in academic literature and professional reports, reinforcing the need for systematic integration of analytics into accounting curricula (Warren et al., 2015; Vasarhelyi et al., 2015).

Teaching accounting analytics is not equivalent to teaching a software package. It requires integrating data literacy, tool competence, accounting reasoning, professional judgment, ethics, and governance into a coherent learning progression. It also requires assessment redesign so learning outcomes are demonstrated through authentic tasks rather than memorization-based tests. This paper synthesizes global challenges and opportunities in teaching accounting analytics and presents a practical instructional and quality assurance framework for implementation.

2. Problem Statement

Globally, employers report that accounting graduates often struggle with (a) framing analytic questions in accounting contexts, (b) cleaning and validating data, (c) linking analytics procedures to audit assertions and financial reporting judgments, (d) creating dashboards and narratives that support decisions, and (e) applying ethical reasoning to data use and algorithmic outputs. Institutions attempting to address these gaps frequently confront barriers such as limited faculty capability, inadequate infrastructure, inconsistent tool choices, lack of authentic datasets, and assessment systems that discourage project-based learning. Consequently, analytics education initiatives may remain fragmented electives rather than integrated program-level competencies.

3. Objectives of the Study

This paper aims to:

1. Identify global challenges to teaching accounting analytics in higher education and professional training.
2. Analyze opportunities and enabling strategies that improve learning outcomes and employability.
3. Propose a structured Analytics Learning Progression Model (ALPM) aligned with accounting competencies and professional standards.
4. Present scalable pedagogical and assessment designs, including rubrics and capstone structures.
5. Provide a quality assurance scorecard to support assurance of learning and continuous curriculum improvement.

4. Research Questions

1. What common challenges do institutions face when integrating accounting analytics into curricula globally?
2. What opportunities and best practices are emerging for effective analytics teaching and assessment?
3. How can accounting programs design a coherent learning progression from data literacy to advanced audit analytics?

4. What implementation roadmap is feasible for both high-resource and resource-constrained institutions?

5. Methodology: Integrative Literature Review

This study uses an integrative literature review design to consolidate conceptual, empirical, and practice-based insights across accounting education, audit analytics, information systems education, and professional guidance. Integrative reviews are suitable for emerging interdisciplinary topics because they allow synthesis across varied evidence types and can yield implementable conceptual frameworks (Torraco, 2005).

The review process involved (a) identifying key thematic clusters (curriculum design, faculty development, tools and infrastructure, data access, assessment, ethics and governance, employability), (b) extracting recurring challenges and strategies reported across contexts, and (c) mapping the extracted insights into an instructional architecture and quality assurance system. The resulting framework emphasizes alignment between learning outcomes, teaching activities, assessment evidence, and stakeholder expectations.

6. Literature Review and Theoretical Foundations

6.1 Defining Accounting Analytics and Its Scope

Accounting analytics refers to applying data techniques to accounting tasks such as transaction testing, journal entry analysis, fraud detection, budgeting, forecasting, tax anomaly identification, and KPI reporting. It spans descriptive analytics (what happened), diagnostic analytics (why it happened), predictive analytics (what may happen), and prescriptive analytics (what should be done). In accounting education, analytics must remain anchored in accounting logic—assertions, controls, materiality, estimation, and stewardship—rather than becoming a generic data science module (Cao et al., 2015; Earley, 2015).

6.2 Learning Theory: Experiential and Constructive Alignment

Analytics learning is strengthened by experiential learning, where students learn through cycles of doing, reflecting, conceptualizing, and applying (Kolb, 1984). Constructive alignment complements this by ensuring intended learning outcomes, learning activities, and assessment tasks reinforce one another (Biggs & Tang, 2011). These theories justify project-based analytics tasks, case simulations, and capstones that require evidence-based reasoning and professional communication.

6.3 Professional Competency and Assurance of Learning

Professional competency frameworks in accounting emphasize not only technical knowledge but also ethics, professional judgment, communication, and lifelong learning. International education standards and accreditation guidance have increased focus on outcome measurement and assurance of learning systems (IAESB, 2019; AACSB, 2020). Analytics integration aligns with these expectations when programs define measurable competencies and collect outcome evidence systematically.

6.4 Audit Analytics and the Changing Assurance Landscape

Audit analytics literature highlights opportunities for full-population testing, anomaly detection, and continuous audit models. Yet it also warns about risks: false positives, model

bias, and over-reliance on tools without skepticism (Brown-Liburd et al., 2015; Vasarhelyi et al., 2015). Therefore, education must emphasize documentation, explainability, and ethical judgment alongside tool competence.

7. Global Challenges in Teaching Accounting Analytics

7.1 Curriculum Crowding and Competing Priorities

Accounting programs often have dense syllabi driven by financial reporting standards, auditing requirements, taxation law, and professional examination content. Adding analytics may be perceived as displacing "core" content. As a result, analytics may be limited to a single elective, producing uneven graduate capability. Curriculum reform requires careful mapping of analytics outcomes into existing courses rather than adding content without removing or integrating.

7.2 Faculty Readiness and Capability Gaps

A widely reported barrier is uneven faculty readiness. Many accounting educators were trained before modern analytics platforms became mainstream and may lack confidence in data management, visualization, SQL, or scripting. Even where faculty motivation is high, constraints such as heavy teaching loads, limited training budgets, and lack of institutional incentives slow adoption. Faculty capability gaps can also include assessment literacy for rubric-based grading and managing project-based learning at scale.

7.3 Tool Fragmentation, Licensing Costs, and Infrastructure

Institutions face difficult choices regarding tools: spreadsheets, BI tools, audit analytics platforms, programming languages, database systems, and ERP simulations. Tool fragmentation creates inconsistent student experiences and shallow learning. Licensing and lab costs can be prohibitive, especially in resource-constrained contexts. In addition, bandwidth constraints and unreliable lab access can disrupt cloud-based teaching.

7.4 Data Access, Confidentiality, and Authenticity

High-quality analytics teaching requires datasets that reflect real-world messiness—duplicates, missing values, inconsistent coding, and evolving chart of accounts. However, authentic corporate data is restricted due to confidentiality and privacy regulations. Overly sanitized datasets reduce realism, while ad-hoc data sharing can raise legal and ethical risks. Institutions must balance authenticity with governance using synthetic data, anonymized datasets, or carefully designed simulated enterprise datasets.

7.5 Student Preparedness and Equity Gaps

Student cohorts have uneven quantitative preparation. Some learners struggle with statistics, logic, or data interpretation, leading to anxiety and disengagement if analytics is introduced without scaffolding. Equity concerns arise where students lack personal devices, stable internet, or prior exposure to software. These gaps can make analytics education appear elitist unless institutions provide structured supports.

7.6 Assessment Culture and Integrity Risks

Traditional accounting assessment emphasizes time-limited exams and procedural accuracy.

Analytics outcomes are better demonstrated through projects, documentation, and presentations. However, institutions may lack systems for consistent rubric-based grading, moderation, and workload management. Academic integrity risks also increase in analytics projects due to code sharing, dashboard reuse, and AI-assisted outputs. Effective assessment design requires individualized datasets, process documentation, oral defenses, and reflective components.

7.7 Ethics, Governance, and Model Risk

Analytics introduces ethical risks: biased models, misleading visualizations, privacy violations, and "automation bias" where students trust tool outputs without skepticism. Accounting and auditing contexts demand accountability and transparency. Therefore, analytics education must embed governance, data ethics, and explainability in assignments rather than treating ethics as a separate standalone topic.

8. Global Opportunities in Teaching Accounting Analytics

8.1 Employability and Professional Mobility

Analytics competence strengthens employability across audit firms, corporate finance, shared service centers, fintech, and public sector oversight. Graduates with demonstrated analytics portfolios can signal job-readiness through dashboards, audit workpapers, and case reports. This improves placement outcomes and program reputation while aligning with accreditation expectations for assurance of learning.

8.2 Stronger Accounting Reasoning Through Authentic Tasks

Analytics-based cases shift learning from memorization to interpretation and judgment. Students learn to connect accounting theory to evidence, test assertions, evaluate controls, and communicate conclusions. This strengthens professional skepticism and critical thinking—skills that remain essential even as automation increases.

8.3 Innovation in Audit Education and Continuous Assurance

Audit analytics provides a pathway to teach continuous auditing concepts, risk-based testing, and control monitoring. Students can experience modern audit workflows: risk assessment, analytics procedure design, exception investigation, and documentation. This bridges the theory–practice gap and prepares graduates for contemporary assurance roles.

8.4 Accessible Tools and Cloud Delivery

The expanding ecosystem of low-cost educational licenses, open-source tools, and cloud labs creates new opportunities, especially for resource-constrained institutions. Meaningful analytics learning can begin with spreadsheets, basic databases, and visualization tools before expanding into advanced platforms. Cloud delivery supports blended learning and reduces dependency on physical labs.

8.5 Micro-Credentials and Stackable Learning

Micro-credentials can complement degree programs and allow students to certify specific skills: "Spreadsheet Modeling for Accountants," "Audit Analytics Foundations," "Dashboarding for Financial Reporting," or "Fraud Analytics." Stackable models provide flexibility and can be co-

designed with employers.

8.6 Interdisciplinary Collaboration

Analytics encourages collaboration between accounting, information systems, statistics, and computer science departments. Co-teaching arrangements can accelerate faculty capability building and improve instructional quality. Interdisciplinary work also reflects real practice, where accountants increasingly collaborate with data engineers and analysts.

9. Proposed Analytics Learning Progression Model (ALPM)

A central contribution of this paper is a structured learning progression that supports coherent curriculum design and avoids tool-driven fragmentation.

Figure 1. Analytics Learning Progression Model (ALPM) for Accounting Programs

Level 1: Data Literacy for Accountants
- Accounting data structures (GL, sub-ledgers, invoices, payroll)
- Data quality, cleaning, basic statistics, Excel foundations
- Ethics basics: privacy, confidentiality, integrity

Level 2: Descriptive & Diagnostic Analytics in Accounting
- Trend, ratio, variance analysis using datasets
- Visualization literacy (charts, dashboards), narrative reporting
- Business questions linked to accounting standards and decisions

Level 3: Audit & Control Analytics
- Assertions-based analytics; journal entry testing logic
- Control exceptions, continuous monitoring concepts
- Workpaper documentation and evidence evaluation

Level 4: Predictive Analytics & Risk Modeling (Introductory)
- Forecasting cash flows, credit risk indicators, risk scoring
- Model governance: bias, explainability, limitations
- Professional judgment and skepticism with analytic outputs

Level 5: Capstone / Practicum
- End-to-end project: framing → data prep → analysis → insights → reporting
- Oral defense + professional report + reproducible workflow + ethics memo

This progression assumes that students must first master data literacy and accounting data structures before moving into audit analytics and advanced risk modeling. It also embeds ethics and governance throughout rather than isolating them.

10. Curriculum Architecture and Integration Strategies

10.1 Hybrid Integration Model (Recommended)

The recommended model combines a foundational analytics course with embedded analytics assignments across core accounting subjects. A first analytics course builds data literacy, spreadsheets, and database fundamentals. Subsequent courses integrate analytics into financial reporting cases, audit simulations, and management accounting projects.

This approach reduces the risk of analytics becoming isolated, while remaining feasible for institutions that cannot immediately redesign every course. It also supports progressive skill development consistent with the ALPM.

10.2 Embedded Model

In an embedded model, analytics is woven through multiple courses from year one. This produces strong integration but requires high coordination and faculty readiness. Embedded models work best when institutions create common datasets, shared rubrics, and cross-course learning outcomes.

10.3 Standalone Elective Model

A standalone elective is easiest to implement but risks superficiality if students do not apply analytics in auditing or financial reporting contexts. Institutions may adopt this model initially as a pilot, then migrate toward hybrid or embedded models.

11. Pedagogy: Teaching Approaches That Work

11.1 Case-Based and Simulation-Based Learning

Analytics learning improves when students work on realistic tasks: detecting duplicate payments, identifying revenue anomalies, testing cut-off, analyzing inventory shrinkage, or investigating unusual journal entries. These tasks develop technical skill and professional judgment simultaneously. Simulation-based audit cases can incorporate assertions, controls, and documentation templates to mirror practice.

11.2 Scaffolded Labs and "Minimal Tool Stack"

A major teaching principle is to reduce tool overload. Programs should select a minimal tool stack aligned with learning outcomes (e.g., spreadsheets + SQL + one visualization tool) and use it consistently across courses. Tool instruction should be embedded within accounting tasks rather than delivered as abstract tutorials.

11.3 Team-Based Projects with Individual Accountability

Analytics work is collaborative in practice, so team projects are valuable. However, fairness requires individual accountability—such as individual reflections, dataset variations, and oral questioning. This balances employability skills with assessment integrity.

11.4 Ethics-Integrated Learning

Students should analyze ethical dilemmas: using confidential datasets, interpreting biased risk scores, deciding what to visualize, and documenting limitations. Short "ethics memos" attached to analytics submissions can normalize responsible practice.

12. Assessment Design and Assurance of Learning

12.1 Competency-Based Assessment

Analytics learning outcomes should be measurable and assessed through rubrics. A rubric can evaluate: problem framing, data preparation, method selection, interpretation quality, communication, and ethical reasoning. This supports consistency across graders and cohorts.

12.2 Capstone Assessment and Portfolio Evidence

A capstone project provides program-level evidence of competence. Students can submit (a) an analysis notebook or workflow document, (b) a dashboard, (c) an audit-style workpaper file, (d) a professional report, and (e) an oral defense. Together these artifacts form a portfolio that supports employability and accreditation assurance of learning.

12.3 Integrity Controls

To reduce plagiarism and over-reliance on automation, institutions can use individualized datasets, require process documentation, and conduct brief viva voce defenses. Assessment should reward explanation and judgment rather than only correct outputs.

13. Insertable "Image" and Figure Placeholders

Figure 2. Example Audit Analytics Dashboard (Image Placeholder)

[Insert Image Here: A dashboard showing journal entry outliers by amount, time, user, and account; filters for month and business unit; a table listing top exceptions; and a note panel for audit conclusions.]

Caption: An illustrative dashboard used in audit analytics teaching to connect exceptions to audit assertions, professional skepticism, and documentation requirements.

Figure 3. Example Workflow Diagram for an Accounting Analytics Case (Figure Placeholder)

Business Question → Data Extraction → Data Cleaning/Validation → Analysis/Test Design → Exceptions Review → Interpretation & Judgment → Reporting & Visualization → Documentation

Caption: A simplified analytics workflow adapted for accounting education, emphasizing validation and documentation as professional requirements.

14. Implementation Roadmap (Global and Scalable)

14.1 Phase 1: Diagnostic and Stakeholder Alignment

Institutions should map current curriculum against desired analytics competencies and consult employers and professional bodies. Stakeholder buy-in is crucial because students may fear reduced exam focus, and faculty may fear increased workload.

14.2 Phase 2: Faculty Development and Resource Planning

A small faculty core team can lead implementation. Effective faculty development includes hands-on workshops, shared assignment templates, and train-the-trainer models. Institutions can partner with firms and software providers for guest teaching and educational licenses.

14.3 Phase 3: Pilot Course and Common Dataset Library

Start with a pilot foundational course and create a dataset library. Datasets can be synthetic but should mimic real transaction complexity. A shared library reduces preparation time and ensures consistency.

14.4 Phase 4: Embed Analytics Across Courses

Embed analytics tasks into auditing, financial reporting, and management accounting. This step is where students begin to see analytics as part of accounting reasoning rather than as

separate "IT content."

14.5 Phase 5: Assurance of Learning and Continuous Improvement

Collect evidence of learning outcomes and use a scorecard for continuous improvement. Annual reviews can refine tools, cases, and rubrics.

15. Quality Assurance Scorecard (Assurance of Learning)

Institutions can monitor reform success through indicators such as:

- **Outcome attainment:** percentage of students meeting benchmarks in data literacy, audit analytics, and communication.
- **Assessment mix:** proportion of authentic analytics tasks vs. traditional exams.
- **Faculty readiness:** training hours and number of shared cases developed.
- **Infrastructure access:** student access to tools, labs, and datasets.
- **Employability:** internship participation, placement rates, employer feedback on analytics readiness.
- **Ethics and governance:** student performance on ethics memos and documentation quality.

16. Discussion

The global challenge in teaching accounting analytics is not a lack of tools, but a lack of coherent integration. Programs often adopt software without redesigning learning outcomes, pedagogy, and assessment. The ALPM addresses this by sequencing learning and embedding ethics and documentation. Another key insight is that analytics education must strengthen accounting judgment rather than replace accounting principles. Students must understand why a test supports an assertion, how data limitations affect conclusions, and how to communicate responsibly.

Emerging economy contexts highlight the need for low-cost tool stacks and phased implementation. High-resource contexts highlight coordination challenges and the risk of tool overload. In both settings, assurance of learning systems are essential to sustain reforms and demonstrate graduate competence.

17. Conclusion

Teaching accounting analytics presents global challenges—curriculum crowding, faculty readiness gaps, tool fragmentation, data constraints, assessment misalignment, and ethics risks. Yet it also offers significant opportunities to modernize accounting education, improve employability, strengthen professional skepticism, and align with accreditation expectations. This paper provides a practical framework through the Analytics Learning Progression Model, scalable pedagogical and assessment strategies, and a quality assurance scorecard. Institutions that adopt phased implementation, authentic tasks, and outcome-based assessment can deliver analytics competence at scale while reinforcing the ethical and professional foundations of accounting.

References

1. AACSB International. (2020). *2020 AACSB accreditation standards and guiding principles*. AACSB International.
2. Appelbaum, D., Kogan, A., Vasarhelyi, M. A., & Yan, Z. (2017). Impact of business analytics and enterprise systems on managerial accounting. *International Journal of Accounting*

Information Systems, 25, 29–44.
3. Biggs, J., & Tang, C. (2011). *Teaching for quality learning at university* (4th ed.). Open University Press.
4. Brown-Liburd, H., Issa, H., & Lombardi, D. (2015). Behavioral implications of big data's impact on audit judgment and decision making. *Accounting Horizons, 29*(2), 451–468.
5. Cao, M., Chychyla, R., & Stewart, T. (2015). Big data analytics in financial statement audits. *Accounting Horizons, 29*(2), 423–429.
6. Earley, C. E. (2015). Data analytics in auditing: Opportunities and challenges. *Business Horizons, 58*(5), 493–500.
7. International Accounting Education Standards Board. (2019). *Handbook of international education standards*. International Federation of Accountants.
8. International Federation of Accountants. (2020). *Technology and the profession: Implications for education and training*. IFAC.
9. Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Prentice Hall.
10. Lawson, R., Blocher, E., Brewer, P., Cokins, G., Sorensen, J., Stout, D., Sundem, G., Wolcott, S., & Wouters, M. (2014). Focusing accounting curricula on students' long-run careers: Recommendations for an integrated competency-based framework. *Issues in Accounting Education, 29*(2), 295–317.
11. Richins, G., Stapleton, A., Stratopoulos, T. C., & Wong, C. (2017). Big data analytics: Opportunity or threat for the accounting profession? *Journal of Information Systems, 31*(3), 63–79.
12. Torraco, R. J. (2005). Writing integrative literature reviews: Guidelines and examples. *Human Resource Development Review, 4*(3), 356–367.
13. Vasarhelyi, M. A., Kogan, A., & Tuttle, B. M. (2015). Big data in accounting: An overview. *Accounting Horizons, 29*(2), 381–396.
14. Warren, J. D., Moffitt, K. C., & Byrnes, P. (2015). How big data will change accounting. *Accounting Horizons, 29*(2), 397–407.
15. Watty, K., Sugahara, S., Abayadeera, N., Perera, L., McKay, J., & Lopez-Gavira, R. (2016). Developing a global model of assurance of learning for accounting programs. *Accounting Education, 25*(5), 395–414.