



## **Automation and Its Implications for Accounting Pedagogy: Curriculum, Assessment, and Professional Identity in the Age of RPA and AI**

**Dr. Ayesha Rahman**

(Department of Accounting & Information Systems, Eastern Metropolitan University, India)

**Prof. Daniel K. Mwangi**

(School of Business, Great Lakes International University, Kenya)

**Dr. Mei-Ling Chen**

(Faculty of Commerce, Pacifica Institute of Technology, Singapore)

### **Abstract**

Automation—ranging from robotic process automation (RPA) and workflow orchestration to machine-learning-enabled anomaly detection and generative AI—has reshaped how accounting work is executed, supervised, and assured. While many repetitive bookkeeping and compliance tasks are increasingly automated, the educational challenge is not simply “adding technology content.” Instead, accounting pedagogy must be re-architected to prepare graduates for an environment where value creation depends on (a) designing and governing automated processes, (b) interpreting outputs responsibly, (c) exercising professional judgment under uncertainty, and (d) communicating insights to stakeholders. This paper develops a pedagogy-forward framework that links automation capabilities to learning outcomes, course design, and assessment methods. Drawing on guidance from global professional bodies and emerging evidence from RPA integration case studies, the paper proposes (1) an “automation-to-competence” curriculum map, (2) scaffolded learning sequences for RPA/analytics/controls, and (3) assessment designs that evaluate higher-order judgment, auditability, ethics, and governance. The paper concludes with implications for faculty development, accreditation alignment, and future research, emphasizing that automation should be taught not as software training but as a new professional logic for accounting work..

**Key Words:** accounting education, automation, RPA, AI, audit analytics, accounting curriculum, assurance, professional judgment, pedagogy, ethics

### **Introduction**

Automation has moved from the periphery to the core of accounting practice. In many organizations, invoice processing, reconciliations, journal entry preparation, vendor onboarding, and basic reporting are partially or fully automated through enterprise systems, RPA bots, and rules-based workflow engines. More recently, AI-enabled tools have accelerated document understanding, exception identification, and narrative drafting. Professional bodies and market signals increasingly reflect this shift, with licensing and competency models emphasizing digital and data capabilities alongside core accounting knowledge. (NASBA)

This transformation creates a central pedagogical question: **What should accounting graduates be able to do when “doing accounting” no longer means manually executing**

**routine procedures?** The instructional risk is twofold. First, curricula may respond with narrow tool training (e.g., “learn an RPA platform”) that becomes obsolete quickly. Second, curricula may ignore automation, leaving graduates unprepared for redesigned workflows where professional judgment is exercised *through* automated systems rather than *despite* them. This paper argues that automation changes accounting pedagogy at three levels:

1. **Task level:** Students must understand which tasks are automatable, why, and with what control risks.
2. **Process-and-control level:** Students must learn to design, document, monitor, and assure automated processes.
3. **Professional-judgment level:** Students must interpret exceptions, evaluate evidence quality, detect model/process failure, and communicate decisions ethically.

Accordingly, we develop an integrated framework and practical curriculum blueprint for universities and professional programs.

## 2. Conceptual Background: What “Automation” Means in Accounting Work

Automation in accounting typically spans a spectrum:

- **Rules-based automation:** ERP configuration, templates, macros, workflow rules.
- **Robotic Process Automation (RPA):** Bots that mimic human interactions with user interfaces and move data across systems.
- **Analytics automation:** Continuous controls monitoring, anomaly detection, automated audit procedures.
- **AI augmentation:** Natural language processing for document extraction; generative AI for drafting summaries, queries, and working papers (with human review).

From a learning standpoint, the key is that automation alters the **locus of competence**: from performing transactions to **governing transaction systems**. This aligns with professional discussions that anticipate new responsibilities around specifying desired outcomes, strengthening controls, and ensuring accuracy and compliance of AI-enabled systems. ([ACCA Global](#))

## 3. Literature Review: Automation, RPA, and Accounting Education

### 3.1 Automation’s effects on the accounting role

A consistent finding across professional and academic discussions is that automation reduces manual effort on repetitive processes while increasing demand for skills in analysis, controls, and advisory work. Emerging reports emphasize that accountants become “designers and guardians” of automated finance processes, responsible for governance and ethical deployment. ([ACCA Global](#))

### 3.2 RPA in accounting practice and research insights

RPA research in accounting frequently highlights improvements in efficiency and standardization but warns about control weaknesses when bots are poorly governed (e.g., access rights, change management, exception handling). Practice-oriented studies in audit contexts also note that automation reshapes evidence collection and audit planning by enabling more continuous, data-driven procedures. ([ScienceDirect](#))

### 3.3 Integrating RPA into accounting courses

Pedagogical work increasingly focuses on how to embed RPA learning into accounting education through cases, lab activities, and cross-functional projects. A notable approach is the use of case-based modules that help faculty integrate RPA into existing courses rather than creating isolated electives—reducing curricular friction while increasing relevance. ([publications.aaahq.org](https://publications.aaahq.org))

### 3.4 Competency standards and professional expectations

International and national bodies set expectations for learning outcomes and competence assessment. IFAC's International Education Standards provide a reference point for competence and assessment design. Meanwhile, CPA Evolution-oriented curriculum models signal explicit emphasis on technology and data capabilities in licensure preparation. (IFAC)

**Synthesis:** The literature converges on a core implication: accounting education must shift from “teaching procedures” to “teaching systems, controls, and judgment,” with automation as the environment in which these are practiced.

## 4. Theoretical Lens: Pedagogy for Automation as a Socio-Technical Competence

We frame automation competence as **socio-technical**: effective performance requires both technical understanding (how systems work) and social/professional understanding (ethics, accountability, stakeholder communication). In accounting education, this suggests that learning outcomes should combine:

- **Technical literacy:** process mapping, data flows, RPA logic, system controls
- **Assurance literacy:** evidence, audit trails, reliability, bias/failure modes
- **Professional judgment:** materiality, risk assessment, skepticism, escalation
- **Ethics and governance:** privacy, transparency, accountability, professional standards

This lens avoids a common trap: treating automation as a “software skill” rather than a “professional practice.”

## 5. Method and Design: A Curriculum-Design Research Approach

This paper uses a conceptual and design-oriented method, integrating:

1. **Standards-informed outcome design** (e.g., competence and assessment principles) (IFAC)
2. **Professional expectation scanning** (technology/data emphasis in licensure and professional communication) (NASBA)
3. **Pedagogical evidence from RPA integration cases** (how educators embed RPA experiences in accounting courses) ([publications.aaahq.org](https://publications.aaahq.org))

The output is a set of implementable artifacts: a framework (Figure 1), a curriculum scaffold (Figure 2), and assessment templates (Section 7).

## 6. Findings: A Pedagogical Framework for Automation-Ready Accounting Graduates

### Figure 1. Automation-to-Competence Framework (Conceptual)

INPUTS (Automation Context)

- ├— ERP + workflows
- ├— RPA bots
- ├— Data pipelines + analytics
- └— AI augmentation (NLP/GenAI)



#### LEARNING PROCESSES (Pedagogy)

- ├— Process mapping + controls
- ├— Data reasoning + exception analysis
- ├— Auditability + evidence evaluation
- ├— Ethics + governance scenarios
- └— Communication + advisory storytelling

#### OUTPUTS (Graduate Competencies)

- ├— Design & monitor automated processes
- ├— Evaluate control risk in automation
- ├— Interpret outputs with skepticism
- ├— Document decisions for assurance
- └— Act ethically and professionally

**Caption:** Automation is treated as the *context* of accounting work. Pedagogy must therefore teach process governance, evidence thinking, and judgment—not just tool usage. ([ACCA Global](#))

#### 6.1 What changes in “what we teach”

Traditional accounting programs often emphasize correctness of manual procedures: journal entries, reconciliations, and step-by-step audit tests. In automated environments, many steps are executed by systems; the accountant’s value shifts to:

- Configuring and validating process logic
- Interpreting exception reports
- Investigating anomalies and fraud signals
- Ensuring compliance and audit trails
- Explaining results and decisions to stakeholders

**Pedagogical implication:** keep foundational concepts (recognition, measurement, controls, audit objectives) but teach them *through automated cases and data-driven evidence*.

#### 6.2 What changes in “how we teach”

Automation-ready pedagogy benefits from:

- **Studio labs:** short automation builds + reflections (bot logic, controls)
- **Case-based learning:** “automation went wrong” investigations (root cause, governance)
- **Cross-disciplinary projects:** accounting + information systems + analytics teamwork
- **Simulation assessments:** continuous close, continuous audit, exception triage
- **Portfolio evaluation:** documentation, process narratives, risk memos, dashboards

This approach aligns with competence-based assessment principles emphasizing demonstration of outcomes rather than seat-time or rote procedure repetition. ([IFAC Web](#))

### 7. Curriculum Blueprint: Scaffolded Integration Across 8 Semesters (or Equivalent)

#### Figure 2. Scaffolded Curriculum Sequence (Example)

Year 1: Foundations

- Financial accounting concepts + basic spreadsheets



- Intro to systems thinking: data -> transaction -> report

**Year 2: Process & Controls**

- Accounting Information Systems (AIS): cycles, documentation
- Internal controls + audit trail logic
- Lab: build a simple rules-based workflow

**Year 3: Automation & Analytics**

- RPA case module inside AIS / Auditing
- Audit analytics: sampling vs full-population tests
- Lab: exception reporting + bot governance checklist

**Year 4: Assurance, Governance & Advisory**

- Continuous auditing / continuous close simulations
- AI ethics, model risk, compliance, professional judgment
- Capstone: automation redesign + assurance memo + presentation

**Caption:** Automation content is embedded progressively, moving from concepts to governance to assurance and advisory communication. ([publications.aaahq.org](http://publications.aaahq.org))

**Table 1. Example Learning Outcomes Mapped to Automation Context**

Domain	Learning outcome (students will be able to...)	Evidence/assessment idea
Process	Map an end-to-end accounting process and identify automatable steps	Process map + justification memo
Controls	Design control points for an automated workflow (access, approvals, logs)	Control matrix + walkthrough
Data	Interpret exceptions/anomalies and propose investigation steps	Case file + triage report
Assurance	Evaluate auditability of an automated process and recommend tests	Audit program + evidence rationale
Ethics	Identify ethical risks (privacy, bias, overreliance) and mitigation	Ethics brief + governance policy

(Assessment designs are expanded in Sections 7.2-7.5.)

**7.1 Embedding automation without overloading the curriculum**

A practical constraint is credit-hour saturation. Programs can integrate automation by converting a portion of existing topics into automated equivalents:

- Manual reconciliation → automated reconciliation + exception handling
- Manual audit test → automated test + reliability evaluation
- Manual internal control narrative → automated control matrix + bot change management

This preserves core accounting identity while modernizing its operational context.

**7.2 Lab pedagogy: “Build small, explain deeply”**

Rather than long tool tutorials, use short builds that force conceptual articulation:

- Build a bot that copies invoice fields into a ledger template
- Then write: “Where can this fail?” “What evidence is produced?” “What controls must exist?”  
This turns automation into a vehicle for teaching control thinking and professional skepticism.

### 7.3 Case pedagogy: learning from automation failures

Students learn faster when cases include failure modes:

- Bot posts duplicate entries due to unstable UI selectors
- Model flags unusual transactions with biased thresholds
- Automated approvals bypass segregation of duties

Student tasks: identify root causes, propose governance fixes, redesign controls, and document an assurance response.

### 7.4 Capstone pedagogy: automation redesign + assurance pack

A strong capstone deliverable is an “automation redesign dossier”:

- Process map (before/after)
- Risk-control matrix
- Bot governance policy (access, change management, monitoring)
- Audit approach memo (what to test, why)
- Management presentation (insights, ROI, residual risks)
- 

### 7.5 Alignment with professional competency models

Programs preparing students for professional pathways should ensure explicit coverage of technology/data expectations seen in licensure-oriented curriculum models and professional statements about AI’s impact on roles. ([NASBA](#))

## 8. Assessment in an Automated World: Measuring Judgment, Not Clicking

Traditional exams often reward procedural recall. Automation-ready assessment must evaluate:

1. **Reasoning under uncertainty** (what you do when outputs conflict)
2. **Evidence evaluation** (audit trail quality, reliability, completeness)
3. **Control design** (prevent/detect/correct controls in automated workflows)
4. **Ethics and accountability** (who is responsible, what disclosures are needed)

### 8.1 Assessment Model A: “Exception Triage OSCE” (Objective Structured Competence Exercise)

**Prompt:** Students receive an exception dashboard and transaction samples.

**Tasks:**

- Categorize exceptions (error, fraud risk, system issue)
- Propose next steps and evidence needed
- Draft a short escalation message to a manager

**Rubric dimensions:** judgment quality, evidence logic, communication clarity, ethical sensitivity.

### 8.2 Assessment Model B: “Auditability Review of an RPA Process”

Students assess an RPA-enabled process for audit readiness:

- Are logs complete and tamper-resistant?

- Is there segregation of duties for bot credentials?
- Are change controls documented?
- Is monitoring real-time and actionable?

This assessment design is consistent with competence assessment principles emphasizing demonstrated outcomes and fit-for-purpose evaluation. ([IFAC Web](#))

### **8.3 Assessment Model C: Portfolio-based evaluation**

Students maintain a portfolio including:

- Process maps
- Control matrices
- Short reflection notes (“what I learned,” “what could go wrong”)
- Mini assurance memos
- A final advisory slide deck

Portfolios reduce the temptation to equate competence with tool memorization.

## **9. Faculty Development and Institutional Readiness**

### **9.1 Faculty capability building**

A major barrier is instructor confidence. Faculty development can be staged:

- **Stage 1:** automation concepts + controls (no tool dependency)
- **Stage 2:** guided labs using low-code examples
- **Stage 3:** co-teaching with information systems/analytics faculty
- **Stage 4:** industry mentorship + case co-creation

### **9.2 Partnerships with practice**

Universities can partner with firms to source anonymized process narratives and control scenarios. Case realism matters more than software brand names.

### **9.3 Infrastructure and policy**

Automation teaching requires basic lab infrastructure and governance policies (data privacy, academic integrity when using AI tools, disclosure rules).

## **10. Ethics, Professionalism, and the “Automation Temptation”**

Automation introduces distinctive ethical risks:

- **Overreliance:** accepting outputs without skepticism
- **Opacity:** inability to explain how results were produced
- **Bias and unfairness:** especially in AI-driven risk flags
- **Privacy:** mishandling of sensitive client or employee data
- **Accountability gaps:** “the system did it” as an excuse

Professional discussions increasingly emphasize responsible AI and ethical leadership in finance, reinforcing the need to teach governance and ethics as core components of automation readiness. ([ACCA Global](#))

**Teaching strategy:** embed ethics inside technical tasks (e.g., every bot design includes an “ethics & governance” section in the submission).



### 11. Discussion: Reframing Accounting Identity

Automation can threaten student identity (“Will accounting disappear?”). Pedagogy should reframe the profession as:

- **Assurance-oriented:** guardianship of evidence, controls, and trust
- **Systems-oriented:** designers and stewards of financial processes
- **Decision-oriented:** interpreters of performance and risk signals
- **Communication-oriented:** translators of complex outputs for stakeholders

This preserves the core professional mission—credibility and accountability—while updating how that mission is executed.

### 12. Implications for Research

Future research directions include:

1. **Learning efficacy studies** comparing tool-training vs socio-technical pedagogy
2. **Assessment validity research** for exception-triage and auditability exercises
3. **Equity studies** on access gaps (students with limited tech exposure)
4. **Longitudinal employer feedback** on graduates’ automation governance skills
5. **AI-in-the-loop writing** and its impact on critical thinking and integrity

### 13. Limitations

This paper is conceptual and design-oriented rather than a single-institution empirical experiment. While it draws on standards, professional guidance, and emerging case evidence, local context (accreditation rules, faculty resources, employer expectations) will shape implementation.

### 14. Conclusion

Automation is not merely another topic to “add” to accounting curricula. It is a structural change in how accounting work is performed, controlled, assured, and explained. Effective accounting pedagogy must therefore evolve from teaching procedures to teaching **process governance, evidence reasoning, control design, and ethical judgment** in automated environments. By using scaffolded integration, authentic cases, competence-based assessments, and portfolio outputs, educators can prepare graduates not to compete with automation, but to lead it responsibly—strengthening the profession’s role as a steward of trust.

### Suggested Figures and Image Placeholders (Ready to insert in Word)

**Image 1 (Placeholder):** “Automated Finance Workflow” infographic — a simple visual showing Source Documents → OCR/NLP Extraction → RPA Posting → ERP Ledger → Exception Dashboard → Human Review → Audit Trail Archive.

(You can create this as a clean vector graphic in Canva/PowerPoint and label each stage with control points.)

**Figure 1 and Figure 2** are already provided above in text form; they can be converted into diagrams for publication.

### References

1. ACCA. (2024). *Accounting expertise meets machine: Smart Alliance report* (PDF). ([ACCA Global](#))



2. ACCA. (2024). *AI assessments: Enhancing confidence in the use of AI* (PDF). ([ACCA Global](#))
3. ACCA & CISI. (2025). *AI monitor: Shining a light on AI's ethical threats for finance* (PDF). ([ACCA Global](#))
4. ACCA. (2025). *AI is reshaping the work of accountants as automation becomes commonplace* (News release). ([ACCA Global](#))
5. American Accounting Association. (2025). *Robotic process automation at top accountants: An instructional case study. Issues in Accounting Education*, 40(1). ([publications.aaahq.org](#))
6. IFAC. (n.d.). *International Education Standards (IES): Education resources and standards*. ([IFAC](#))
7. IFAC. (2024). *International Education Standards—Assessment (IES 6) fit-for-purpose: 2022 literature review* (PDF). ([IFAC Web](#))
8. International Federation of Accountants. (2015/2017). *Framework and handbook materials for International Education Standards*. ([IFAC](#))
9. NASBA. (2021). *AICPA and NASBA release CPA Evolution model curriculum*. ([NASBA](#))
10. Perdana, A. (2023). *Prototyping and implementing robotic process automation in audit contexts. Journal of Information Systems / related accounting technology outlet* (ScienceDirect abstract). ([ScienceDirect](#))
11. Emerald Publishing. (2024). *The use of robotic process automation in contemporary financial accounting work* (Article page). ([Emerald](#))
12. The CPA Journal. (2025). *Alternatives in accounting education (discussion of technology emphasis, CPA Evolution context)*. ([The CPA Journal](#))
13. AICPA & CIMA. (n.d.). *Information & data management: Technology and learning resources*. ([aicpa-cima.com](#))