THE *JOURNAL* OF EUROPEAN
ECONOMIC HISTORY

Continuous Professional Development of Accounting Educators

Dr. Ayesha N. Karim
Department of Accounting & Finance, Eastern Institute of Management, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Prof. Michael T. Reeves
School of Business Education, Northbridge University, Melbourne, Australia

Dr. Priyanka S. Menon
Centre for Accounting Pedagogy, Kochi, India

Abstract
Accounting educators operate at the intersection of rapidly changing professional standards (financial reporting, assurance, sustainability, digitalization) and evolving expectations for learner outcomes, assessment quality, and stakeholder impact. Continuous professional development (CPD) for accounting educators is therefore not optional—it is a core capability for maintaining program relevance, ensuring student competence, and meeting quality assurance expectations. This paper synthesizes global guidance on lifelong learning and competence maintenance, particularly the International Education Standard 7 (IES 7) concept of CPD, which emphasizes ongoing development to sustain professional competence and public trust. (education.ifac.org) It further integrates business/accounting school accreditation logic that requires systematic faculty qualification and engagement processes aligned with mission and outcomes. (AACSB) We propose a practical CPD architecture for accounting educators: a competency map, structured learning pathways, an evidence-based CPD portfolio, and institutional governance mechanisms. The paper concludes with metrics and evaluation methods to move CPD beyond compliance toward demonstrable teaching effectiveness and curricular responsiveness

Key Words: CPD, accounting educators, faculty development, assurance of learning, IES 7, accreditation, teaching excellence, professional competence

Introduction
Accounting education is experiencing simultaneous shifts: new reporting and assurance demands, technology-enabled accounting work, and growing expectations for measurable learning outcomes. These changes place strong pressure on educators to remain current in (i) technical content, (ii) professional skills and ethics instruction, and (iii) assessment design and evidence quality. Internationally, CPD is framed as a lifelong process required to maintain competence and strengthen public confidence in the profession—principles formalized in IES 7. (education.ifac.org)

While IES 7 primarily addresses CPD for professional accountants, its logic directly applies to accounting educators because educators shape the competence pipeline. Importantly, IES 7's evolution is associated with shifting attention away from purely "hours-based" compliance toward role-relevant learning and competence maintenance. (IFAC) In parallel, accreditation systems increasingly expect schools to define and document faculty qualifications, engagement, and continuous improvement aligned with mission and learner outcomes. (AACSB)

THE *JOURNAL* OF EUROPEAN
ECONOMIC HISTORY

Purpose of this paper: to provide a global, implementable framework for CPD of accounting educators that aligns (a) educator competence areas, (b) structured development pathways, and (c) evidence and governance for continuous improvement.

2. Conceptual Background
2.1 CPD as competence maintenance and public-interest infrastructure
IES 7 frames CPD as continuing development needed to maintain competence for high-quality services, supporting public trust in the profession. (education.ifac.org) The same public-interest logic holds for educators: outdated teaching or weak assessment design can produce graduates unprepared for practice, undermining confidence in accounting education outcomes.

A practical implication drawn from CPD implementation guidance is that CPD programs should be evaluated for benefits and not treated only as a compliance exercise—an idea emphasized in the World Bank's CPD implementation resources referencing IES 7. (World Bank)

2.2 Accreditation and faculty development expectations
AACSB's standards and supporting resources emphasize that schools set mission-aligned criteria for faculty engagement/qualification and document ongoing development and contributions. (AACSB) Even where exact categories differ by school, the core idea is stable: faculty development must be systematic and evidence-based.

2.3 Global benchmarking and curriculum comparability context
International curriculum benchmarking efforts (e.g., UNCTAD/ISAR model accounting curriculum) highlight cross-border comparability and guidance for curricula design and evaluation—creating further incentives for educators to continually update content and pedagogy. (UN Trade and Development (UNCTAD))

3. Why CPD for Accounting Educators is Different
Educator CPD must go beyond technical updates. An accounting educator needs competence in four overlapping spheres:
1. **Discipline Currency:** new standards, regulations, sustainability developments, assurance expectations
2. **Pedagogical Excellence:** instructional design, active learning, case teaching, inclusive teaching practices
3. **Assessment & Evidence:** rubric design, reliability, constructive alignment, assurance-of-learning cycles
4. **Practice Engagement:** industry relevance, applied tools, professional judgment, internships/live projects

If CPD focuses only on "technical updates," teaching quality may not improve. Conversely, if CPD focuses only on pedagogy, course content may become detached from current practice needs.

4. Proposed CPD Competency Framework for Accounting Educators
Table 1. CPD Competency Domains and Example Indicators

Domain	What it includes	Example evidence
A. Technical & Currency	Standards financial reporting, audit/assurance, taxation basics, sustainability topics	updated course modules; standards-based case

THE JOURNAL OF EUROPEAN ECONOMIC HISTORY

Domain	What it includes	Example evidence
		refresh
B. Digital & Data Capability	AIS tools, analytics for accounting, audit tech, data literacy	redesigned lab/tutorial; tool certification
C. Teaching & Learning Design	active learning, case method, scaffolding, feedback design	peer observation reports; teaching innovation pilots
D. Assessment Quality & AoL	rubrics, moderation, reliability, learning outcome mapping	improved rubric reliability; "closing-the-loop" report
E. Ethics & Professional Judgment Teaching	ethical reasoning, professional values, skepticism	ethics case packs; reflective assessment redesign
F. External Engagement & Scholarly/Practice Contributions	industry engagement, research/consulting, curriculum partnerships	practitioner sessions; applied research outputs

This structure is consistent with the need for role-relevant development embedded in CPD thinking and with accreditation-driven expectations of systematic faculty development and engagement documentation. ([IFAC](#))

5. CPD Architecture: From Activities to Measurable Impact

Figure 1. CPD-to-Impact Pathway (conceptual)

CPD Inputs → Learning Activities → Changed Practice → Student Outcomes → Program Impact

(time, support) (courses, (new pedagogy, (better skills, (better AoL, workshops, updated content, judgment, accreditation industry) stronger assessment) employability) evidence)

Core principle: CPD should be judged by *evidence of changed teaching/assessment practice* and *student learning improvements*, not only attendance.

6. Designing an Effective CPD Program

6.1 Individual Professional Development Plan (PDP)

Each educator maintains an annual PDP with:
- role profile (e.g., audit instructor, financial accounting lead, assessment coordinator)
- 2–3 CPD goals mapped to domains A–F
- planned activities and expected outputs (syllabus changes, assessment redesign, cases, new tools)

6.2 Three CPD Pathways (recommended)

1. **Standards & Practice Pathway**
   o annual technical update seminars; practitioner panels; standards-based case clinics
2. **Pedagogy & Assessment Pathway**
   o teaching certificates; micro-teaching; rubric calibration; assessment modernization cycles
3. **Digital & Innovation Pathway**
   o analytics training; AIS lab upgrades; simulation-based learning design

THE JOURNAL OF EUROPEAN ECONOMIC HISTORY

6.3 The CPD Portfolio (evidence pack)

A portfolio structure makes CPD auditable and improvement-oriented:

- *Artifacts:* revised syllabus, new cases, redesigned rubrics, sample graded work with feedback
- *Evidence:* student performance shift on targeted outcomes, peer review results
- *Reflection:* what changed, what didn't, and next iteration

This approach aligns well with CPD thinking focused on competence maintenance and with accreditation logic expecting documentation of faculty contributions and continuous improvement. (education.ifac.org)

7. Institutional Governance for CPD

7.1 CPD Policy Principles

A high-functioning CPD policy should include:

- **role-relevance** (different CPD for different teaching/leadership roles)
- **balanced development** (content + pedagogy + assessment + practice engagement)
- **evidence requirement** (portfolio-based documentation)
- **support mechanisms** (time allowance, funding, mentorship)

7.2 CPD Committee and Annual Review

A faculty development committee:

- sets annual CPD priorities (e.g., sustainability integration, assessment modernization)
- runs calibration workshops and communities of practice
- reviews portfolios with a developmental—not punitive—approach

8. Evaluation: How to Measure CPD Success

To avoid "CPD as compliance," evaluation must include outcome signals:

Table 2. CPD Evaluation Metrics

Level	Metrics	Examples
Participation	completion, breadth across domains	% faculty completing PDP + portfolio
Practice Change	course redesign, assessment quality	rubric reliability improvement; new authentic assessments
Student Learning	targeted learning outcome change	improved case analysis scores; better writing quality
Program Impact	AoL closure, external feedback	stronger AoL cycle evidence; employer feedback

This aligns with the broader emphasis in CPD implementation thinking on evaluating benefits and strengthening commitment beyond compliance. (World Bank)

9. Common Challenges and Mitigation Strategies

- **Time constraints and overload:** integrate CPD into workload models; micro-credentials and short cycles
- **Uneven faculty starting points:** mentorship tracks; differentiated pathways
- **Rubric/assessment inconsistency:** moderation and calibration routines

- **Disconnect from practice:** industry co-teaching, practitioner case development
- **Gaming and box-ticking:** require artifacts + reflection + evidence of change

10. Conclusion

CPD for accounting educators should be treated as a strategic capability that sustains teaching quality, assessment credibility, and graduate readiness. Grounding CPD in lifelong competence principles (as framed in IES 7), and aligning it with accreditation expectations for systematic faculty development and evidence, enables institutions to transform CPD from attendance-based compliance into measurable educational impact. (education.ifac.org)

References

1. Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB). (2024). *AACSB business accreditation standards* (effective July 1, 2024) (PDF). (AACSB)
2. Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB). (2025). *2018 standards for accounting accreditation* (February 28, 2025 version) (PDF). (AACSB)
3. Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB). (n.d.). *Faculty qualifications: Resources and FAQs.* (AACSB)
4. International Federation of Accountants (IFAC). (n.d.). *IES 7: Continuing Professional Development.* (education.ifac.org)
5. International Federation of Accountants (IFAC). (2018). *IES 7: Continuing Professional Development (Revised).* (IFAC)
6. International Federation of Accountants (IFAC). (2019). *2019 handbook of international education standards.* (IFAC)
7. International Federation of Accountants (IFAC). (n.d.). *Revision of IES 7—Continuing Professional Development (project page).* (IFAC)
8. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD). (2011). *Model accounting curriculum (Revised)* (PDF). (UN Trade and Development (UNCTAD))
9. United Nations (Press Release). (1999). *UNCTAD expert group agrees guidelines for accounting curricula (ISAR).* (United Nations Press)
10. World Bank. (n.d.). *Continuing professional development programs for accountants: Implementation guide.* (World Bank)